

1 GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
 2 ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE REGULATION ADMINISTRATION  
 3 ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

4

5 - - - - -X

6 IN THE MATTER OF: :

7 Legal name Kookoovayu, Inc. :

8 t/a We The Pizza : Case #16-PRO-00024

9 305 Pennsylvania Ave Southeast:

10 License #82062 :

11 Retailer Class CR :

12 ANC 6B :

13 :

14 - - - - -X

15 Wednesday, June 8, 2016

16

17 Whereupon, the above-referenced matter  
 18 came on for hearing at the Alcoholic Beverage  
 19 Control Board, Reeves Center, 2000 14th Street,  
 20 N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009.

21

22

1

2 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

3 NICK ALBERTI, BOARD MEMBER

4 RUTHANNE MILLER, BOARD MEMBER

5 JAMES SHORT, BOARD MEMBER

6 MIKE SILVERSTEIN, BOARD MEMBER

7

8 ALSO PRESENT:

9 ANDREW KLINE

10 SYLVIA CSIFFARY

11 CHANDER JAYARAMAN

12

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 [9:42 a.m.] SHOW CAUSE HEARING (STATUS)

3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,  
4 everyone. I would like to welcome you to the  
5 regularly scheduled meeting of the District of  
6 Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board.  
7 Please note that today's hearings will be  
8 conducted accordance with D.C. Official section  
9 2574 of the Open Meetings Act. Today is June 8,  
10 2016. I would like to introduce members of the  
11 board with us today. To my far right is Ms.  
12 Roseanne Miller, to my immediate right is Mr.  
13 Nick Alberti, to my far left is Mr. Mike  
14 Silverstein and to my immediate left is Mr. James  
15 Short.

16 My name is Donovan Anderson and I am the  
17 Chairman of the Board. The Board has five  
18 members in attendance for the conduct of business  
19 today and that constitutes a quorum.  
20 I would like to mention two things before we get  
21 started. First of all, if you have an electronic  
22 device, pagers, cells phones or such, please make

1 certain that they are turned off to avoid any  
2 interruption of the proceedings. Second, there  
3 is a piece of paper at the table in front of you  
4 when you come forward as your case is called,  
5 please take a seat at the table and please sign  
6 in. This is to ensure the correct spelling of  
7 your name for the record. Additionally, when you  
8 introduce yourself for the record, please spell  
9 your name aloud for the court reporter. I would  
10 like to note that the approximate time is 9:43  
11 a.m.

12 Our first order of business today is that  
13 we have a protest hearing for case #16-PRO-00024,  
14 The Pizza, license #82062. Will the parties  
15 please approach and identify yourselves for the  
16 record please. We should have the ANC and I  
17 think there's a motion that's been filed by the  
18 group of six so if the folks from the group of  
19 six, if they're here, please come forward.

20 MR. KLINE: Good morning, Andrew Kline  
21 here on behalf of the applicant.

22 CHAIRPERSON FLETCHER: Good morning, Mr.

1 Kline.

2 MR. JAYARAMAN: Commissioner Chander  
3 Jayaraman on behalf of ANC 6B.

4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.

5 MS. CSIFFARY: Good morning, my name is  
6 Sylvia Csiffary and I'm the designated  
7 spokesperson for the group of five neighbors.

8 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Excuse me, could you  
9 speak a little louder?

10 MS. CSIFFARY: My name is Sylvia Csiffary  
11 and I am here representing the group of five  
12 neighbors.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, is there  
14 anyone else from the group of five or are you the  
15 only person here, Ms. Csiffary?

16 MS. CSIFFARY: I am the only person here.  
17 I have one letter from one of our members and I  
18 can explain some other details if this is the  
19 opportune moment. One of our members is in the  
20 hospital.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Are  
22 there any preliminary matters today that we need

1 to arrange regarding this protest case.

2 MR. KLINE: Now, we've had mediation and  
3 it looks like this case will probably proceed to  
4 a protest hearing. [inaudible 3:10] that the  
5 group of five is currently not in the case, then  
6 that is a matter for the Board which the Board  
7 will presumably consider shortly.

8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, we're going  
9 to consider it. That's one reason -- I'm going  
10 to consider it here so if there are issues that  
11 you need to address we can move forward and  
12 address the motion that was filed. So, a motion  
13 was filed by the group of five requesting that  
14 they be allowed to participate and so, therefore,  
15 do you want to?

16 MS. CSIFFARY: My name is Csiffary.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Csiffary, can  
18 you then tell us what the motion is that you have  
19 filed?

20 MS. CSIFFARY: We would respectfully  
21 request that our motion to protest be reinstated  
22 as we have been guided to do by ABRA legal. I

1 would like to explain that we were not -- we, as  
2 neighbors, were not given sufficient time to make  
3 arrangements all to be present here and I would  
4 like to state for the record that one of our  
5 members is currently in the hospital with  
6 pneumonia. I just discovered this yesterday.

7 And I would also like to state that we  
8 only learned yesterday that all five of us needed  
9 to be here. The second person who was at the  
10 original Roll Call Hearing did supply a letter  
11 with a signature. May I present this to you, or  
12 what should I do?

13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can present  
14 it. Who was at the Roll Call Hearing?

15 MS. CSIFFARY: The person at the Roll  
16 Call Hearing was Jocelyn Hung Lo.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, who -- was she  
18 the only person that wrote? Who was at the --

19 MS. CSIFFARY: Who was present there at  
20 the time?

21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

22 MS. CSIFFARY: At the time, there were

1 Jocelyn and Wayne Sulser, that's S-U-L-S-E-R, and  
2 myself and commissioner Jayaraman.

3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm just talking  
4 about from your group.

5 MS. CSIFFARY: Oh, from our group, there  
6 were three of us.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other  
8 arguments you wish to make, why the Board should  
9 reinstate you as a party?

10 MS. CSIFFARY: As the designated  
11 spokesperson for the group, we would like to have  
12 the opportunity to participate in the dialogue  
13 between the restaurant owners and ourselves, the  
14 reason for this being that for the last three  
15 years, since these businesses have been  
16 established around the corner from where we live,  
17 there has been a degradation in the quality of  
18 life because of the unfortunate trash management  
19 practices of these persons.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Remember, we're --  
21 I'm sorry, remember we're, we're only talking  
22 about We The Pizza, that's the only case we're



1 talking about because there's three separate  
2 cases, so that's what we're talking about  
3 currently.

4 MS. CSIFFARY: Thank you, thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

6 MS. CSIFFARY: So, you want me to say We  
7 The Pizza?

8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's what we're  
9 talking about, We The Pizza.

10 MS. CSIFFARY: Well, they're all owned by  
11 the same --

12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm aware, but  
13 there's three separate cases.

14 MS. CSIFFARY: They all use the same  
15 dumpster so I'm not sure if We The Pizza has the  
16 first dumpster or the second one or the third  
17 one. There are five dumpsters behind my house  
18 now. I live behind a garbage dump. I wasn't  
19 planning on it but there it is. So, because of  
20 that proximity to our property we have suffered a  
21 loss of desirability in our property values  
22 because of the influx of rodents and other

1 creatures that have come into the place drawn by  
2 the refuse which has not been properly handled by  
3 We The Pizza to begin with.

4           We noticed as neighbors that the wall of  
5 one of our property owners, that's Ms. Hong, who  
6 was at the Roll Call Hearing, has been damaged on  
7 more than one occasion in the course of the  
8 removal of the dumpsters down the public alley  
9 way to be disposed of in the street. The alley  
10 is extremely narrow. It's an old part of the  
11 city and the dump trucks cannot drive down the  
12 alley to pick up the dumpsters so every day,  
13 twice a day, the dumpsters are rolled down the  
14 alley way, and there's damage caused to the  
15 property by the poor driving practices of the  
16 dumpster people.

17           CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Remember ma'am,  
18 what I'm asking you to do is, you've filed a  
19 motion and so you need to let us know why it is  
20 that we should consider your motion to --

21           MS. CSIFFARY: I can't hear you, I'm  
22 sorry.

1                   CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry.  
2 Remember, you have filed a motion and what I'm  
3 asking you to do is to convince the Board why the  
4 Board should consider your motion. Some of the  
5 arguments that you're -- are being made by you I  
6 think could be argued by the ANC, so whether or  
7 not you're a party to it, I mean, what you're  
8 addressing right now could be addressed by the  
9 ANC, so what I'm asking -- it's her motion,  
10 you're already a party, she's asking to be a  
11 party, so what I'm asking her to do is they did  
12 not comply with the rules and regulations is to  
13 tell us why is it that we should, based on your  
14 motion, why is it that the Board should consider  
15 your motion to provide you party status?

16                   MS. CSIFFARY: This is my first  
17 experience in this environment so I'm not exactly  
18 clear on all of what is perfectly pertinent to  
19 our needs here, but as neighbors we have suffered  
20 property damage because of trash management  
21 issues and the value of the property is in  
22 question because of the proximity of all this

1 trash to the property and the neighbors are not  
2 able to enjoy the peaceful environment because of  
3 the proximity of these things. So, I would say  
4 that the property values are in danger and we  
5 cannot enjoy the property that we are owners of  
6 and for which we pay taxes.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, your  
8 position regarding the motion?

9 MR. KLINE: Yeah, I'm not going to  
10 respond to what I'm hearing is essentially  
11 [inaudible 10:09].

12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm asking  
13 regarding the motion, that's what I'm --

14 MR. KLINE: On the motion, the issue here  
15 was a failure to sign the protest letters. We  
16 believe that is jurisdictional. We believe the  
17 Board has consistent rules and that's  
18 jurisdictional. The regulations require that in  
19 order to participate in this process there are  
20 certain minimum conditions that must be met and  
21 the basic minimum condition is that we want to  
22 file a timely protest that is signed by the

1 parties that intend to be the protestants. In  
2 this case it's a group of five. In the  
3 opposition to the motion, we cite in the matter  
4 of Watergate Hotel Lessee, year of order 2013-  
5 417. I think that's a position that you've taken  
6 consistently. We would ask that you take it  
7 here. Furthermore, from an equity standpoint the  
8 ANC is a party and they are able to represent the  
9 interests of the neighborhood and in fact, if we  
10 were to reach agreement with the ANC, which  
11 frankly doesn't seem likely in this case, then  
12 the group of five protest would go away. In  
13 terms of their desire to participate in the  
14 dialogue, the dialogue is probably over and we're  
15 talking about a hearing so we would submit, given  
16 that they have not satisfied the jurisdictional  
17 requirements for participating in the process  
18 that their motion should be denied and the matter  
19 should proceed with the ANC as the sole  
20 protester.

21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Do we  
22 have any questions by the Board members? Ms.

1 Miller?

2 MS. MILLER: Your argument that this is  
3 jurisdictional, are you arguing that it's  
4 jurisdictional that if they don't sign the  
5 petition that the Board can't hear the case? The  
6 protest?

7 MR. KLINE: Well, yes, we're arguing that  
8 the basic requirements for filing a protest must  
9 be fulfilled and they're pretty basic. They are  
10 that you meet the deadline, that you have a  
11 relevant party and that the protestees sign and  
12 it's pretty easy, it's pretty basic, and without  
13 that being fulfilled, it would seem that they do  
14 not have standing to proceed and, of course, I  
15 don't think I need to say, though I'll say it  
16 anyway, these requirements are important because  
17 they provide some structure and applicants and  
18 protestants can reasonably rely on what's  
19 expected of them by the basic regulations and  
20 which basic requirements are expected and  
21 required and we submit that without them one  
22 cannot be a party.

1 MS. MILLER: I just wanted to know if you  
2 were relying on any particular conditions or  
3 regulations that aren't in that --

4 MR. KLINE: We're relying on, although I  
5 can pull it up, but the prerequisites for filing  
6 a protest in which is that the protest must be  
7 signed and which the Board has consistently ruled  
8 that that's the case.

9 MS. CSIFFARY: May I speak?

10 MS. MILLER: Oh, yeah.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one  
12 minute, Ms. Miller is asking Mr. Kline a question  
13 so if Ms. Miller decides to ask your question  
14 then you can speak that but it's Mr. Kline's  
15 opportunity to speak. You've had your  
16 opportunity. So if a question is addressed to  
17 you then --

18 MS. CSIFFARY: I'm sorry, I cannot hear  
19 you.

20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. As I  
21 said before, Ms. Miller has requested, asked Mr.  
22 Kline a question and you've had your opportunity

1 so if the Board asks you question, then you can  
2 speak.

3 MS. CSIFFARY: Thank you.

4 MR. KLINE: Ms. Miller, it's 23 DCMR  
5 1605.3.

6 MS. MILLER: 23 DCMR what?

7 MR. KLINE: 1605.3.

8 MS. MILLER: 1605.3. Could I ask the  
9 other question?

10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can ask,  
11 you're on -- it's your question Ms. Miller.

12 MS. MILLER: Okay, I would like to ask  
13 the ANC representative whether or not you would  
14 be including the parties -- any of the parties'  
15 basic concerns in your case?

16 MR. JAYARAMAN: ANC 6B is protesting on  
17 the basis of peace, order and quiet. What's  
18 unique about the neighbor's protest which is  
19 different from ours is that they are also  
20 claiming a real impact on real property values.

21 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Please speak a little  
22 louder, sir, and into the microphone so we can



1 hear one another.

2 MR. JAYARAMAN: Okay. ANC 6B protested  
3 on the basis of peace, order and quiet. The  
4 abutting -- not the abutting -- the neighbors,  
5 the group of five -- is protesting on a separate  
6 reason, that being the negative impact on real  
7 property values of the establishments, which is  
8 new and unique, which is not covered under the  
9 protest that has been filed by the ANC.

10 MS. MILLER: So, will you be including in your  
11 protest the impact of the trash on peace, order  
12 and quiet?

13 MR. JAYARAMAN: Yes.

14 MS. MILLER: You will. Okay.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: One thing I just  
16 want to do, let me call two other cases, because  
17 whatever ruling we make in this case will impact  
18 the other two cases, so the other protest case is  
19 case 16-PRO-00023, Bernaise, license number 89622  
20 and the other case is protest case #16-PRO-00029,  
21 Good Stuff Eatery, license #78027, and I'm  
22 correct that the parties who are sitting at the

1 table are the parties who -- are the -- the --  
2 the ANC for these three establishments and the  
3 group of five is the same group of five that's  
4 requesting standing because they did not comply  
5 with the procedures previously, is that correct?

6 MS. CSIFFARY: Yes, it is.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Kline,  
8 it's correct that you filed the same motion to  
9 exclude that the Board should not reconsider its  
10 position regarding the group of five, is that  
11 correct?

12 MR. KLINE: Not precisely. We opposed  
13 the motion that was filed for reinstatement.  
14 Yes.

15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The motion that  
16 was filed, yes, yes. All right I stand  
17 corrected. Okay, I'm sorry, go ahead Ms.  
18 Miller.

19 MS. MILLER: I wanted to ask you -- well,  
20 first of all you wanted to respond to Mr. Kline's  
21 argument about the jurisdictional question?

22 MS. CSIFFARY: Yes, with regard to our

1 good faith effort to fulfill the requirements to  
2 file the state -- the reinstatement request, I  
3 realize that ignorance is not an excuse for not  
4 following the law but I would like to  
5 respectfully ask the Board to consider the fact  
6 that I am a retired person without any experience  
7 in the law and I am trying to be a representative  
8 of my neighbors and my own interests in  
9 protecting our property values and our  
10 environment.

11 And, given that I would like to state  
12 that the last conversation that I had with  
13 Commissioner Jayaraman before the deadline for  
14 the protest, the indication to me was that there  
15 was ongoing negotiation and I had the impression  
16 from our conversation that we were very close to  
17 finding a settlement that would be helpful to all  
18 of the parties and with that feeling of optimism  
19 I did not prepare ahead of time for the opposite  
20 result. So, what I discovered was that I had to  
21 come up with a letter of protest within 24 hours  
22 on the same day as the deadline, so I started

1    working on this at 9:00 in the morning and I  
2    didn't have the opportunity to fulfill all of the  
3    requirements because of the shortness of the time  
4    and it wasn't because of neglect.

5            MS. MILLER:    Okay.    One other question.  
6    You said that one person couldn't be here because  
7    he's in the hospital?

8            MS. CSIFFARY:    Yes.

9            MS. MILLER:    You needed to have people by  
10   our rules between here and the roll call hearing  
11   so one person is in the hospital --

12           MS. CSIFFARY:    Yes.

13           MS. MILLER:    Okay, what's the story with  
14   the other person.    I believe you [inaudible  
15   18:48]

16           MS. CSIFFARY:    I have a letter -- I have  
17   a letter from Ms. Jocelyn Hung Lo who was the  
18   person at the original meeting.

19           MS. MILLER:    But there should have been  
20   one other person?    Five people in total?

21           MS. CSIFFARY:    Well, there are two other  
22   people who are party -- who are a part of our

1 group and that is Wu Hon Bamsby and his wife  
2 Anita Mingle. And Wu Hon is self employed and it  
3 was the shortness of time that prohibited him  
4 from making arrangements, and the same thing with  
5 Ms. Mingle, she is also an employee of the city  
6 government and works for the court system and she  
7 was not able to rearrange her schedule on such  
8 short notice and they are very sorry not to be  
9 able to participate.

10 MS. MILLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other  
12 questions? All right. Then, the motion I'm  
13 making is -- I make a motion that the motion  
14 filed by the group of six be denied. Is there a  
15 second?

16 MR. ALBERTI: Second.

17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti has  
18 seconded the motion.

19 MR. ALBERTI: May I speak to the motion?

20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, go ahead.

21 MR. ALBERTI: Ma'am, I'm sorry, I've  
22 forgotten your name already, I apologize. Look I

1 empathize --

2 MS. CSIFFARY: My name is Sylvia  
3 Csiffary.

4 MR. ALBERTI: Csiffary?

5 MS. CSIFFARY: Yes, thank you.

6 MR. ALBERTI: Ms. Csiffary, I empathize  
7 greatly with your concerns. I'm familiar with  
8 the neighborhood, I'm familiar with the alley, I  
9 know all the properties in your neighborhood and  
10 throughout the hill which are similar. And it  
11 pains me to vote to dismiss your protest, it  
12 really does, but we are bound by the law and the  
13 regulations DCMR Title 23 which are readily  
14 available to everyone on the ABRA website, I'm  
15 sure the ANC is aware of it -- they bind us to  
16 require that five people appear, 1605.3, the last  
17 sentence of that section says "notwithstanding  
18 1603.2, at least five individuals of the group of  
19 five or more must appear in person, either at the  
20 roll call or at the protest status hearing. That  
21 really is the basis for me having to vote to  
22 dismiss your protest. I have no other choice.

1 So, I will vote in favor of the motion.

2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other  
3 comments? Yes, Mr. Silverstein.

4 MR. SILVERSTEIN: I would agree with Mr.  
5 Alberti that we have no choice here and this is  
6 not an issue about the merits of your case, this  
7 is a threshold issue as to whether we can go  
8 there. You do have an outlet and that is the ANC  
9 protest. You can testify at that, your neighbors  
10 can testify at that. You're not being silenced.  
11 This is a matter of whether you have standing,  
12 and you will have standing as a member of the  
13 community through the ANC. Had the ANC signed a  
14 settlement agreement your protest would have been  
15 subsumed anyway, according to statute, I'm sure  
16 you know that.

17 MS. CSIFFARY: I would be delighted for a  
18 settlement agreement to be signed.

19 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Well, if there was one  
20 it would be subsumed by -- so it's not a matter  
21 of your being silenced, it is a matter that this  
22 -- we have no other alternative legally if we're

1 going to fulfill the obligations that we've set  
2 for ourselves in the precedents that we've set.

3 MS. CSIFFARY: I understand.

4 MR. SILVERSTEIN: Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, then  
6 all those in favor of denying the motion, say  
7 aye. [Chorus of ayes]

8 Those opposed? [No audible response.]

9 The matter passed 5--zero-zero so, therefore, the  
10 group of five has not been granted standing.

11 It's standing in all three protest cases, so  
12 that's case #16-Pro-00024 The Pizza, case 16-PRO-  
13 00023 Bernaise and case #16-pro-00029, Good Stuff  
14 Eatery. These cases are then scheduled for a  
15 protest hearing on July 27th at 1:30. This is  
16 the same date for all three cases, so July 27th  
17 at 1:30.

18 Now that we have scheduled the matter for  
19 a protest hearing there are a few things that I  
20 would like to instruct the applicant and the  
21 protestants about so that the protest hearing is  
22 focused and addresses only those issues that are



1 being protested. The Board does not intend to  
2 hear testimony on matters that are not relevant  
3 to this case. You should have received by  
4 electronic or regular mail a letter explaining  
5 the protest process, a copy of the protest  
6 information form and a copy of the exhibit form.  
7 If you do not have these documents please contact  
8 our assistant general counsel, Mr. April Randall,  
9 following this hearing. It is imperative that  
10 you review the rules closely and adhere to them  
11 before and during the protest hearing. You are  
12 also required to complete and submit the protest  
13 information form, PIP and the exhibit form seven  
14 days before the date of the hearing. These two  
15 forms and accompanying documents must be  
16 submitted to ABRA's legal division and to all  
17 opposing parties in this matter. If we do not  
18 receive a copy of your PIP, your application or  
19 your protest, whichever side you're representing,  
20 may be subject to dismissal. Likewise if we do  
21 not receive a copy of the exhibit form as the  
22 exhibits themselves, your exhibits may be

1 excluded from the record upon a finding that the  
2 opposing party has been prejudiced or no good  
3 cause for failure to submit has been shown.  
4 Likewise, witnesses other than the party may also  
5 be subject to exclusion if not identified in the  
6 PIP.

7           Accordingly, these forms are very  
8 important, they greatly assist the Board in  
9 narrowing the protest issues, facilitating the  
10 process and keeping the parties on point during  
11 the hearing. They likewise provide notice to  
12 opposing parties enabling them to better prepare  
13 for the hearing.

14           We thank you greatly for your  
15 cooperation. If you have any questions today or  
16 at any time leading up to the hearing, please do  
17 not hesitate to contact Ms. Randle at 202-443-  
18 4353. Thank you very much. As this matter is  
19 now settled we will see you at a hearing on July  
20 27th at 1:30. Thank you.

21           MR. KLINE: Mr. Chair, I do have a  
22 question.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

2 MR. KLINE: There are obviously three  
3 cases here, three applications, and they've just  
4 been set for the same date and time and I'm  
5 curious as to what the Board's intention is with  
6 respect to the different license applications.

7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those are the  
8 dates that were provided by legal. If you have  
9 concern with the date, please contact Ms. -- our  
10 general counsel, and they will change the date.

11 MR. KLINE: Well, no we're fine with the  
12 date.

13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean those were  
14 the dates I was given.

15 MR. KLINE: We have three cases and  
16 they've all been set -- I'll talk to your counsel  
17 about it.

18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, but you know  
19 Mr. Kline I've followed instructions, I have  
20 instructions and it's what I'm given and until  
21 I'm given otherwise then I can't change my mind,  
22 so, all right.

1           MR. KLINE: We don't want you coloring  
2 outside the lines.

3           CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Give me a couple  
4 more years and I'll start making up the rules,  
5 but right now since I'm still new, I'm following  
6 what is it that it tells me to do, all right?

7           MR. KLINE: Thank you.

8           CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

9           MR. JAYARAMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

10          CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're welcome.

11          MS. CSIFFARY: Thank you.

12

13          (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was  
14 concluded.)

15